

DERBYSHIRE JOINT AREA PRESCRIBING COMMITTEE (JAPC)

Management of Non-valvular Atrial Fibrillation

- Guidelines for anticoagulation apply to paroxysmal, persistent and permanent AF and atrial flutter. Do
 not use this guideline for patients with significant structural heart disease, congenital heart disease or
 cardiomyopathy.
- Do not offer aspirin or clopidogrel monotherapy solely for stroke prevention to patients with AF. Anticoagulation should be the treatment of choice to reduce the risk of strokes.
- Continue treatment for existing patients who are currently stabilised and well controlled on anticoagulation for stroke prevention.
- The majority of patients with AF should be offered rate control.
- In people with atrial fibrillation presenting acutely with suspected concomitant acute decompensated heart failure, seek senior specialist input on the use of beta-blockers and do not use calcium-channel blockers.
- Perform a 12- lead ECG in all patients, whether symptomatic or not, in whom AF is suspected because an irregular pulse has been detected
- Do not routinely do an echo if the decision to initiate anticoagulation has already been made unless there is another indication (e.g. murmur or LVSD suspected).
- The GRASP-AF tool can be run on GP clinical systems and used to identify patients at risk of stroke
- CHA₂DS₂-VASc score is the preferred tool for the assessment of stroke risk.
- Use ORBIT bleeding risk score in all AF patients to assess bleeding risk, if available on clinical systems. (Where ORBIT is not available, HAS-BLED may be used to assess bleeding risk). Modifiable factors that reduce risk should be addressed.
- Discuss the results of the assessments of stroke and bleeding risk with the person taking into account their specific characteristics, for example comorbidities, and their individual preferences.
- For most patients the benefit of anticoagulation outweighs the bleeding risk. Do not withhold anticoagulation solely because of a person's age or their risk of falls.
- For people with an increased risk of bleeding, the benefit of anticoagulation may not always outweigh the bleeding risk, and careful monitoring of bleeding risk is important.
- In most cases there is no immediate need for anticoagulation and clinicians should allow the patient some reflective time before a decision is made.
- Where a NOAC is considered to be the most appropriate anticoagulant, generic apixaban (twice a day treatment) is to be used 1st line for patients with NVAF unless there is a specific clinical reason not to do so. Edoxaban is the next preferred option (once a day) currently* if apixaban is not suitable.
- Doses should be selected with care when initiating treatment with a NOAC and should be reviewed on an annual basis.
- Poor compliance with warfarin does not equate to good compliance with a NOAC. NOACs have a relatively short half-life, so poor compliance will result in uncontrolled anticoagulation.

- Available 'real world' data suggest variable adherence to NOAC intake from 38% to 99% depending ٠ on the setting and definition. Patient education on the need for oral anticoagulation therapy and the importance of strict adherence is important (ref EHJ)
- Refer patients promptly at any stage if treatment fails to control the symptoms of AF and more specialised management is needed. NICE define promptly as within four weeks of failed treatment.
- Amiodarone is for initiation by the consultant or specialist only. Duration of treatment should be specified. Do not offer amiodarone for long-term rate control. Amiodarone should only be used as an interim therapy and should not usually be taken for longer than 12 months.

* subject to outcome of rivaroxaban patent challenge

Document Update	Date
p.18 monitoring clarified	Feb 2022
Insert advice regarding using CrCl calculator embedded in GP clinical system	April 2022
p.17 Clarify advice on edoxaban for patients with high CrCl	May 2022
Update renal advice for edoxaban, link to PCCS resource	Feb 2023
MHRA drug safety on DOAC- reminder of dose adjustments in renal impairment	June 2023
Pg 8 & 16: update advice regarding using the embedded calculator in SystmOne	Oct 2023
Update best value DOAC following NHS England guidance – 1st line generic apixaban	Feb 2024

Page no.

Contents

1.	Abbreviations	3
2.	Definitions	3
3.	Introduction	4
4.	Aim	4
5.	Diagnosis and investigations	4
6.	Risk assessment	5
7.	Considering or offering an anticoagulant	7
8.	Choice of anticoagulant	7
	Warfarin vs NOACs	8
	Anticoagulation control for existing patients on warfarin	9
	Considerations when choosing oral anticoagulation agent	10
9.	Treatment of arrhythmia	
	a. Rate control strategies	11
	b. Rhythm control strategies	12
10.	References	13
11.	Authors	13
Арр	pendix 1: Review of patients with AF	14
Арр	pendix 2: Patients on treatments considered outside of current NICE guidance	14
Арр	pendix 3: Consultant prescribing advice	14
Арр	pendix 4: Antiplatelets and anticoagulation	15
Арр	pendix 5: Antithrombotic therapy after an acute coronary syndrome in atrial fibrillation patients	15
Арр	pendix 6: Detailed prescribing information for NOACs	16
Арр	pendix 7: CHA2DS2-VASc score and stroke risk table	22
Арр	pendix 8: Resources for patients	22
	Management of Atrial Fibrillation (AF)	

Management of Atrial Fibrillation (AF) Updated: February 2022 Review date: January 2025

Page 2 of 22

1. Abbreviations

ACS	Acute Coronary Syndrome						
AF	Atrial Fibrillation						
ALP	Alkaline phosphatase						
AST	Aspartate aminotransferase						
BMI	Body Mass Index						
Cr	Creatinine						
CrCl	Creatinine Clearance						
CYP3A4	Cytochrome P450, family 3, subfamily A, polypeptide 4						
ECG	Electrocardiogram						
HF	Heart Failure						
INR	International Normalised Ratio						
LFT	Liver Function Test						
LVSD	Left Ventricular Systolic Dysfunction						
МІ	Myocardial Infarction						
NOAC	Non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants (apixaban, dabigatran, rivaroxaban, edoxaban)						
NSAID	Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs						
PDA	Patient Decision Aid						
SNRI	Serotonin and Norepinephrine Reuptake Inhibitor						
SSRI	Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitor						
TIA	Transient Ischaemic Attack						
TTR	Time in Therapeutic Range						
ULN	Upper Limit of Normal						

2. Definitions

Acute onset	Onset within the previous 48 hours
Atrial flutter	Abnormal heart rhythm that occurs in the atria of the heart. This condition is initially managed by secondary care. Atrial flutter and atrial fibrillation have similar goals, including rate control, prevention of recurrent episodes and prevention of thromboembolism. But the method of restoration of sinus rhythm the pharmacological management of atrial flutter and AF are very different, as atrial flutter responds better to electrical cardioversion, and antiarrhythmic drugs are only modestly effective. Patients with atrial flutter should be given antithrombotic therapy in the same manner as those with atrial fibrillation
Consider	Defined as an intervention which will do more good than harm for most patients and be cost effective, but other options may be similarly cost effective
Labile INR	Refers to unstable/high INRs or poor time in therapeutic range (e.g. TTR <60% when using the HASBLED calculator)
Major bleed	NICE uses trials with different diagnostic criteria of major bleed e.g. haemoglobin of 2g/dL or more over 24h, transfusion of 2 units or more, bleeding that occurs in a critical site (including intracranial, intraspinal etc.) or bleeding that is fatal.
Offer	Defined as an intervention which will do more good than harm and be cost effective
Paroxysmal AF	AF which spontaneously terminates within 7 days, usually within 48 hours
Permanent AF	Persistent or long-standing persistent atrial fibrillation in which a decision has been made not to try to restore normal sinus rhythm by any means
Persistent AF	AF which persists for more than 7 days
Pill-in-the- pocket strategy	Defined as the person managing paroxysmal AF themselves by taking antiarrhythmic drugs only when an episode of AF starts.
Valvular AF	AF in the presence of mechanical prosthetic heart valve or moderate to severe rheumatic mitral valve disease.
Non-valvular AF	All other AFs are non-valvular

NICE definition

Consider	an intervention which will do more good than harm for most patients and be cost effective, but other options may be similarly cost effective
Offer	an intervention which will do more good than harm and be cost effective

3. Introduction

Atrial fibrillation (AF) affects about 1.2% of the population in the United Kingdom and accounts for about a sixth of all strokes. AF is the most common sustained cardiac arrhythmia and if left untreated AF is a significant risk for stroke and other morbidities. Men are more commonly affected than women and the prevalence increases steeply with age, from 0.5% of those aged 50-59 years to 10% of those over 80. The aim of treatment is to prevent complications, particularly stroke and alleviate symptoms.

4. Aim

The aim of this policy is to support prescribers in identifying and managing appropriate patients with AF for whom anticoagulation (with warfarin or a non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants (NOAC)) would be an effective and cost effective treatment for reducing stroke risk in non-valvular AF. Recommendations are based on NICE NG196¹.

5. Diagnosis and investigations

Look for AF by OPPORTUNISTIC CASE FINDING^{\$}

Take the pulse of those people presenting with any of the following:

- Breathlessness/dyspnoea
- Palpitations
- Syncope/dizziness
- Chest discomfort
- Stroke/transient ischaemic attack

Do not screen asymptomatic population for AF (evidence shows no benefit) **AF may also be detected as an incidental finding on clinical examination**

Irregular pulse detected: AF suspected: Do 12-lead ECG

Where **paroxysmal AF** suspected but undetected by 12-lead ECG, undertake a 24 hour ambulatory ECG if asymptomatic episodes are suspected or symptomatic episodes are less than 24 hours apart. Use an ambulatory ECG monitor for a period appropriate to detect AF if symptomatic episodes are more than 24 hours apart

¥								
ECG confirms AF or Flutter								
Personalised package of care	Rate or rhythm control	Stroke prevention/ bleeding risk assessment	Bloods? Echo? Referral?					
 Patients with AF should be offered a personalised package of care which should include: Stroke awareness and measures to prevent stroke. Rate control. 	Rate control is the treatment of choice for the majority of patients.	Assess stroke risk using CHA2DS2-VASc and Assess bleeding risk	Bloods: NICE do not recommend any specific blood tests. Most clinicians would check FBC, renal and thyroid function as a minimum. Consider lipid profile to assess CV risk					
 Assessment of symptoms for rhythm control. Who to contact for advice if needed Psychological support if needed. Up-to-date and comprehensive education and information on: Cause, effects and possible 		using ORBIT bleeding risk score (or HAS-BLED if ORBIT unavailable)	Echo: Do NOT routinely do echo. Do echo only if result will change management. Examples where echo is indicated include – left ventricular systolic dysfunction, mitral valve disease, or murmur, organise an echo if these are suspected.					
 complications of AF. Management of rate and rhythm control. Anticoagulation. Practical advice on 			Referral to specialist: routine referral not needed. Refer promptly if treatment fails to control symptoms.					
 anticoagulation. Support networks. Ensure that the package of care is documented and delivered. 			(Prompt referral is defined as no longer than 4 weeks after the final failed treatment or no longer than 4 weeks if AF recurs after cardioversion and further specialise management is needed)					

\$ KardiaMobile (AliveCor) Heart Monitor is a pocket-sized ECG recorder which records rhythm and can identify paroxysmal AF. It may be a useful tool to investigate irregular pulse where a full ECG is not available immediately. However, it does not replace 12 lead or continuous ECG. See NICE <u>MTG64</u>.

6. Risk assessment for anticoagulation

Stroke and bleeding risk should be assessed in all patients with AF. Use CHA₂DS₂-VASc score to assess stroke risk and the ORBIT bleeding risk score (if available on clinical systems) or HAS-BLED to assess the risk of bleeding in patients who are starting or have started an anticoagulant.

The decision to stop anticoagulation should be made based on a reassessment of stroke and bleeding risk using CHA2DS2-VASc and ORBIT or HAS-BLED and following a discussion of the person's preferences. Do not stop anticoagulation solely because atrial fibrillation is no longer detectable.

	Use <u>CHA₂D₂S₂-VASc</u> to asse	ss stroke risk		Use <u>ORBIT</u> or	HAS-BLED to	assess blee	ding ris	ik
 NICE recommend the use of CHA₂DS₂-VASc to assess the risk of stroke in people with: Symptomatic or asymptomatic paroxysmal, persistent or permanent atrial fibrillation Atrial flutter A continuing risk of arrhythmia recurrence after cardioversion back to sinus rhythm (cardiologist decision or CHADSVASC≥2) or catheter ablation 			 Use the ORBIT bleeding risk score (if available on the clinical systems) to assess the risk of bleeding in people who are starting or have started anticoagulation. Offer modification and monitoring of the following risk factors: Uncontrolled hypertension Poor control of INR ("Labile INR") Concurrent medication, e.g. concomitant use of aspirin or other antiplatelets or a NSAID or SSRI. Harmful alcohol consumption 					
CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc items CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc Score Max. score = 9			Reversible causes of anaemia. ORBIT bleeding risk score ORE sug			ORB suge	IT Score of ≥ 4 gests high risk	
	ventricular dysfunction		Δαρ ≥75			1110	1	
	Hypertension	1	Reduced Haemoglobin/Reduced				2	
	Age ≥75	2	 Haematocrit/Anaemia Male: Hb <13 mg/dL; Hct: <40% 					_
	Diabetes	1						
	Stroke or TIA	2	 Female: Hb <12 mg/dL; Hct: <30% Females or History of anaemia 					
	Vascular disease (prior MI,	1						
	peripheral artery disease, aortic		Bleeding H	istory				2
	plaque)	4	Insufficient renal function eGFR <60mg/dL/1.73m ²			L/1.73m ²		1
	Age 65-74	1	Treatment	with Anti-platelet	t agents			1
(See appendix 7 CHA2DS2-VASc score and stroke risk table)				ORBIT Score 0-2 3	Risk group Low Medium	Bleeds per patient-ye 2.4 4.7	er 100 ears	

4-7

8.1

High

Where ORBIT is not available, HAS-BLED may be used to assess bleed	ding risk
HAS-BLED bleeding score	
Max. score = 9 (score of ≥ 3 suggests high risk)	
Hypertension (systolic BP >160mmHg)	1
Abnormal liver function (hepatic derangement- bilirubin >2 xULN and AST/ALP or ALP > 3	1
xULN)	
Abnormal renal function (serum Creatinine ≥200micromol/, Dialysis, transplant)	1
Stroke	1
Bleeding tendency (previous bleeding history and/or predisposition to bleeding, e.g. anaemia)	1
Labile INR (Unstable/high INRs, Time in Therapeutic Range < 60%)	1
Elderly (>65yrs) e.g., age > 65 years, frail condition	1
Drugs (concomitant use of drugs such as antiplatelet agents, NSAID etc.)	1
Alcohol (alcohol abuse)	1



Do not offer aspirin (or clopidogrel) monotherapy solely for stroke prevention to people with AF

Annual review for all patients (see also appendix 1) Re-assess stroke risk and bleeding risk (If on warfarin assess time in therapeutic range; If on a NOAC assess compliance)

7. Considering or offering an anticoagulant

NICE recommend when discussing the benefits and risks of anticoagulation, explain that:

- For most patients the benefit of anticoagulation outweighs the bleeding risk
- For people with an increased risk of bleeding the benefit of anticoagulation may not always outweigh the bleeding risk and careful monitoring of bleeding risk is important.
- Do not withhold anticoagulation solely because the person is at risk of having a fall
- Do not offer aspirin (or clopidogrel) monotherapy solely for stroke prevention to people with AF.
- If considering or offering anticoagulation, offer a NOAC. Generic apixaban (twice daily treatment) is to be used 1st line for patients with NVAF unless there is a specific clinical reason not to do so. Edoxaban (once a day) is the next preferred option if apixaban is not suitable. Where a NOAC is contraindicated, not tolerated or not suitable in people with atrial fibrillation, offer a vitamin K antagonist. The clinician should discuss the options for anticoagulation with the patient and drug choice should take into account clinical features, preferences and bleeding risk.

8. Choice of anticoagulant

The choice of anticoagulant in AF should be made with the patient and is dependent upon clinical features and preferences. The risks and benefits of the treatment options should be presented to the patient in an easily understandable and unbiased manner.

The NOACs apixaban, edoxaban, dabigatran and rivaroxaban have not been directly compared in the same clinical trials, so it is not possible to say which one is better. They share some of the same advantages and disadvantages compared to warfarin, but because they work slightly differently, they also have some unique characteristics that make them better suited for different types of patients.

Where a NOAC is considered to be the most appropriate anticoagulant, the following order should be considered for patients with NVAF unless there is a specific clinical reason not to do so:.

- 1. Generic Apixaban (best value twice daily treatment)
- 2. Edoxaban*(Lixiana®) if generic apixaban not suitable (best value once daily treatment)
- 3. Rivaroxaban* (Xarelto®)
- 4. Dabigatran (Pradaxa®)

*subject to national procurement process

Branded Apixaban* (Eliquis®) is significantly more expensive and not recommended.

Detailed prescribing information for NOACs can be found in appendix 6

For adults with atrial fibrillation who are already taking a vitamin K antagonist and are stable, continue with their current medication and discuss the option of switching treatment at their next routine appointment, taking into account the person's time in therapeutic range.

Key points:	
NOAC	Warfarin (See local <u>anticoagulation guidance</u> for further
 No requirement for INR monitoring. Provide immediate anticoagulant effect (time to peak effect ranges from 1-4 hours). Currently have no known food interactions. Reduced risk of intracerebral bleeds versus warfarin (see p17 for further details) NOACs have shorter half-life and missed doses may result in more time without any anticoagulation and greater risk of thromboembolic complications. Adherence can be a challenge for patients managing anticoagulants Each NOAC has a higher acquisition cost than warfarin. Limited evidence on the reversal of the anticoagulant effects of the drugs. Renal function should be assessed and monitored using Cockcroft and Gault formula to calculate the CrCL, especially in patients with extreme BMI. In patients weight >120kg or BMI >40 kg/m² warfarin is locally recommended ahead of NOAC because there are limited clinical data available for patients at the extreme of weight. Consult with specialist if in doubt. Require baseline tests and on-going monitoring (see appendix 6) For all patients being considered for treatment with NOACs use the Cockcroft and Gault formula to calculate the Creatinine Clearance (CrCl). 	 information) vitamin K antagonist can be offered if NOACs are contraindicated, not tolerated or not suitable Reduced risk of GI bleed compared to NOAC – dabigatran, edoxaban and rivaroxaban. Patients with AF in the presence of mechanical prosthetic heart valve or moderate to severe rheumatic mitral valve disease should be treated only with warfarin not a NOAC. Clearance of warfarin is not affected by renal function. The benefits of NOACs over warfarin declines as the TTR on warfarin increases. Effective and familiar use of antidote with vitamin K should a severe bleed occur. INR gives clinicians a guide to patient compliance. Clinicians may choose to use warfarin in patients for whom the ability to readily and objectively monitor the extent of anticoagulation is paramount. For patients with poor adherence, the long time to onset and effect of action moderate of action mode anticoagulate of action mode anticoagulate of action mode.
Cockcroft and Gault formula:Estimated Creatinine Clearance (ml/min) = (140 – age) x *Weight x Constant Serum CreatinineSerum CreatinineAge (years)*Weight (Kg) (see p16-17 for further details of when to use IBW and ABW)Serum Creatinine (micromol/litre),Constant 1.23 for men; 1.04 for womenFor practical purposes when calculating CrCl for NOAC dosing, many clinicians use the embedded calculator in the GP clinical system. If using the embedded calculator in SystmOne, ensure the creatine clearance using actual body weight is used. NB. It is very important to ensure that up-to-date weight & creatinine are used.	 of set of action, maybe advantageous as the anticoagulant effect of warfarin will persist for days after the last dose. X Warfarin - time to peak effect ranges from 3-5 days and a half-life averaging 40 hours. X Warfarin is known to interact with certain foods e.g. cranberry, alcohol and other foods containing high amounts of vitamin K. X Patients may have difficulty around complying with or accessing INR monitoring. Reports of calciphylaxis, a very rare but serious condition causing vascular calcification and skin necrosis have been reported to the MHRA. The mortality rate is high. Patients should consult their
There are no published clinical trials that directly compare the NOACs against each other. See Detailed prescribing information in appendix 6.	doctor if they develop a painful skin rash. See MHRA, July 2016.
When a decision has been made to prescribe an anticoagulant, certain patient factors may help guide treatment choice.	
Those treated with NOACs should carry an anticoagulation card www.NOACforAF.eu	

Anticoagulation control for existing patients on Warfarin



Considerations when choosing oral anticoagulation agent

Once daily regime		Preferred option: edoxaban (rivaroxaban is also taken once daily) or warfarin
preferred?(consid er concordance, reliant on carers/ nursing visits)		 Edoxaban - can be given as a single dose Rivaroxaban² – can be given as a single dose with food Warfarin – although given as a single dose, it may be necessary to give several tablets dependant on dose
Does the patient		 Preferred option: Generic apixaban (twice daily) (edoxaban, rivaroxaban, or possibly warfarin are also choices) Apixaban³ - no special storage requirement, can be used in compliance aid
require medication in a compliance aid?	Y	 Edoxaban - no special storage requirement. Stable outside of original packaging for 3 months at 40° and 75% relative humidity (personal communication with company) Rivaroxaban³ - no special storage requirement, can be used in compliance aid Warfarin - if risk assessment has been undertaken and a management plan is in place to manage dosage changes. Note: Dabigatran is sensitive to moisture not suitable for compliance aid.
		Preferred option: Generic apixaban (edoxaban, rivaroxaban, apixaban are also choices)
		ApixabanSwallowing difficultiesTablets can be crushed and dispersed in water, glucose 5%, apple juice, or apple puree. Take care to ensure the whole dose is administered.Enteral tubesTablets can be crushed and dispersed in water or in glucose 5% for administration. Licensed for administration through nasogastric tubes. Take care to ensure the whole dose is administered, and flush well after each dose.
Does the patient have swallowing difficulties or a gastric tube?	Y	Edoxaban <u>Swallowing difficulties⁵</u> The tablets can be crushed and mixed with water or apple sauce for administration <u>Enteral tubes⁵</u> The tablets can be crushed and mixed with water for administration
guotile tabe .		Rivaroxaban <u>Swallowing difficulties</u> May be crushed and mixed with water or apple puree immediately prior to use and administered orally <u>Gastric tube^{4,5}</u> May be given through a nasogastric or PEG tube, after confirmation of the correct gastric placement of the tube. The crushed tablet should be administered in a small amount of water via a gastric tube after which it should be flushed with water. (Rivaroxaban should not be administered through feeding tubes which do not terminate in the stomach. For example this would include NJ, PEJ AND PEGJ tubes)
		Note: Dabigatran capsules must not be opened as it results in a substantial increase in drug bioavailability (+75%)
Is the patient likely to miss doses?	Y	 Preferred option: Warfarin unless compliance aid helps (Generic apixaban first choice, edoxaban, rivaroxaban are suitable for compliance aids) Warfarin Patients with poor concordance may be at a greater risk of thromboembolic complications with NOACs as the shorter half-lives of these agents compared to warfarin will potentially result in more
		time without any degree of anticoagulation if a dose is missed
Is the patient needle phobic?	Y	NOACs- Although there is no need for regular blood tests to monitor INR, people taking NOACs still require regular follow-up. When initiating treatment baseline tests need to be performed and patients monitored on a regular basis at least annually (see appendix 6) however less than with warfarin. Warfarin – requires frequent monitoring at least 3 monthly (not a near patient testing only requires capillary blood)
Does the patient		Preferred option- Warfarin (local recommendation)
have BMI>40kg/m ² or weight >120kg?	Y	Consult with specialist if in doubt

9. Treatment of arrhythmia

a. Rate control strategies

Offer rate control as the first-line strategy to people with AF, except in people:

- whose AF has a reversible cause
- who have heart failure thought to be primarily caused by AF
- with new-onset AF
- with atrial flutter whose condition is considered suitable for ablation strategy to restore sinus rhythm
- for whom a rhythm control strategy would be more suitable based on clinical judgement



*Beta-blockers licensed to treat AF: atenolol, acebutolol, metoprolol, nadolol, oxprenolol, propranolol. Locally bisoprolol is also routinely used for AF patients.

Calcium channel blocker: diltiazem (unlicensed indication and need to obtain and document informed consent) or verapamil *For people with atrial fibrillation and concomitant heart failure, follow the <u>recommendations in NICE's guideline on chronic</u> <u>heart failure</u>.

****Unlicensed indication

b. Rhythm control strategies

Consider pharmacological and/or electrical rhythm control for people whose symptoms continue after heart rate has been controlled or if a rate control strategy was not successful.



Pill in the pocket strategy will be decided after cardiologist's assessment and communicated to primary care clinicians who may provide on-going supplies. (E.g. flecainide dose 200- 300mg one stat dose) **'Pill-in-the-pocket'** should be considered and discussed with the patient when:

- they have infrequent paroxysms and few symptoms
- symptoms are induced by known precipitants (such as alcohol, caffeine)

In patients with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation, a 'pill-in-the-pocket' strategy should be considered for those who:

- have no history of left ventricular dysfunction (confirmed through echo) or valvular or ischaemic heart disease and
 have a history of infrequent symptomatic episodes of paroxysmal atrial fibrillation and
- have a systolic blood pressure greater than 100 mmHg and a resting heart rate above 70 bpm and
- are able to understand how to, and when to take the medication

There is no definition of the frequency of paroxysmal AF. NICE do state:

"Therapy for paroxysmal AF should be tailored to the patient. For example, episodes of AF for 1 to 2 minutes once a year or for 10 hours twice a day are both paroxysmal AF, but their impact on the patient's quality of life, if symptomatic, would be quite different. In patients with infrequent and brief paroxysms, the regular use of antiarrhythmic therapy may not be necessary (and is commonly not prescribed in current clinical practice). Such patients may be suitable for the pill-in-the-pocket approach. However, for infrequent but protracted and symptomatic paroxysmal AF, rapid cardioversion of each event and/or antiarrhythmic drug prophylaxis may be considered."

From this they concluded "where people have infrequent paroxysms and few symptoms, or where symptoms are induced by known precipitants (such as alcohol, caffeine), a 'no drug treatment' strategy or a 'pill-in-the-pocket 'strategy should be considered and discussed with the person."

10. References

- 1. Atrial fibrillation: diagnosis and management, NICE Guideline NG196, updated 10/06/21, accessed 28/06/21, <u>https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng196</u>
- 2. SPC Xarelto, accessed August 2014 http://www.medicines.org.uk/emc/medicine/21265
- 3. Derbyshire Medicines Management Prescribing and Guidelines. The prevention of stroke and systemic embolism in atrial fibrillation (AF) with warfarin and Non-vitamin K Antagonist Oral Anticoagulants (NOACs) <u>http://www.derbyshiremedicinesmanagement.nhs.uk/home</u>
- 4. Prescriber decision support of new oral anticoagulant, December 2013. Greater Manchester Commissioning Support Unit, Medicines Optimization Team.
- 5. Newt Guidelines for administration of medication to patients with enteral feeding tubes or swallowing difficulties. Accessed September 2014. <u>http://www.newtguidelines.com/</u>
- 6. NICE TA 275. Apixaban for preventing stroke and systemic embolism in people with non-valvular atrial fibrillation. February 2013.
- 7. NICE TA 249. Dabigatran etexilate for the prevention of stroke and systemic embolism in atrial fibrillation. March 2012.
- 8. NICE TA 256. Rivaroxaban for the prevention of stroke and systemic embolism in people with atrial fibrillation. May 2012
- 9. SPC Eliquis, accessed August 2014 http://www.medicines.org.uk/emc/medicine/24988
- 10. SPC Pradexa, accessed August 2014 http://www.medicines.org.uk/emc/medicine/20760
- 11. http://cks.nice.org.uk/, accessed September 2014
- 12. Granger CB et al. Apixaban versus Warfarin in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation. N Engl J Med 2011; 365: 981-92. (ARISTOTLE trial)
- 13. Connolly SJ, et al. Dabigatran versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med 2009;361:1139-115. (RE-LY trial)
- 14. Patel MR, Mahaffey KW, Garg J et al. Rivaroxaban versus warfarin in Non-valvular Atrial Fibrillation. N Engl J Med 2011;365:883-91. (ROCKET-AF trial)
- 15. NICE TA355. Edoxaban for preventing stroke and systemic embolism in people with non-valvular atrial fibrillation. September 2015
- 16. Giugliano RP et al. Edoxaban versus warfarin in patients with atrial fibrillation. N Engl J Med; 369:2093-104 (ENGAGE AF-TIMI 48 trial)
- Martin K, Beyer-Westendorf J, Davidson BL, Huisman MV, Sandset PM, Moll S. Use of the direct oral anticoagulants in obese patients: guidance from the SSC of the ISTH. J Thromb Haemost 2016; 14: 1308–13.
- European Heart Journal (<u>https://academic.oup.com/eurheartj/article/39/16/1330/4942493</u>) accessed August 2018
- 19.
- NHSE Commissioning recommendations for national procurement for direct-acting oral anticoagulant(s) (DOACs) accessed February 2024 https://www.england.nhs.uk/long-read/commissioning-recommendations-for-national-procurementfor-doacs/

11. Authors

Medicines Management, Clinical Effectiveness Team In consultation with:

- Dr. Julia Baron, Dr. A McCance consultant cardiologist Royal Derby Hospital
- o Dr. Justin Cooke, consultant cardiologist Chesterfield Royal hospital

Appendix 1: Review of patients with AF

Patients with AF are at an increased risk of stroke and should be encouraged to take anticoagulants. Discuss the risks Vs benefits at every opportunity with the patient, but not less than annually.

- For patients who are taking an anticoagulant, review the need for anticoagulation at least annually or more frequently if clinically relevant events occur affecting anticoagulation or bleeding risk.
- For patients who are not taking an anticoagulant, review stroke risk when they reach age of 65 or if they develop any of the following at any age diabetes, HF, peripheral arterial disease, coronary heart disease, stroke, TIA or systemic thromboembolism.
- For patients who are not taking an anticoagulant because of bleeding risk or other factors, review stroke and bleeding risks at least annually and ensure all review and decisions are documented.

Appendix 2: Existing patients on treatment considered outside of current NICE guidelines

1. Existing patients on aspirin

Aspirin monotherapy is <u>not</u> recommended solely for stroke prevention in people with AF. NICE concluded there was no clinical benefit of aspirin in reducing mortality and systemic emboli. There may be existing patients in primary care on aspirin monotherapy. At the next routine visit reassess the patient's stroke and bleeding risk using the CHA₂DS₂-VASc and ORBIT bleeding risk scores or HAS-BLED and treat according to the guidance, to reduce the risk of stroke.

2. Existing patients on 'no treatment'

There will be existing patients (at low or high risk) who have chosen not to have any treatment or patients who are at low risk and so require no anti-thrombotic treatment. At the next annual review reassess these patients' stroke and bleeding risk using the CHA₂DS₂-VASc and ORBIT bleeding risk scores or HAS-BLED and offer treatment again. Explore the patient's views regarding anticoagulation and offer all therapeutic options.

3. Existing patients on dual antiplatelet therapy, solely for AF.

NICE do not make a specific recommendation regarding dual antiplatelet solely to treat AF because they felt the potential number of patients was low. However dual therapy (with aspirin and clopidogrel) may be considered by a cardiologist in patients whom all anticoagulation is contra-indicated or not tolerated. Existing patient on dual antiplatelet solely for AF will need to be reviewed in light of the new guidance and offered anticoagulation if not done so previously.

Appendix 3: Consultant prescribing advice

1a. Patient with stable vascular disease with newly diagnosed atrial fibrillation

Conclusive evidence of benefit for dual treatment for long term use is limited and is associated with an increased bleeding risk. The following advice is from local consultants:

Patients with established CVD taking long term aspirin who develop AF requiring anticoagulation should usually have their aspirin stopped when INR reaches therapeutic levels.

Do not prescribe the newer antiplatelets (ticagrelor and prasugrel) with warfarin or a NOAC in stable vascular disease.

1b. Stroke/TIA patient with newly diagnosed atrial fibrillation

For patients taking a long term antiplatelet (usually clopidogrel) for stroke/TIA who then develop AF and require an oral anticoagulant, in most case the antiplatelet should be stopped. Stroke physicians may occasionally prescribe this combination for patients who have a further stroke despite therapeutic anticoagulation after carefully considering individual risks and benefits and that will be clearly communicated to primary care.

2. Triple therapy (dual antiplatelet and anticoagulant)

Triple therapy combination will only be initiated under the advice of a cardiologist through a shared management plan. Example where triple therapy is indicated includes patient with AF undergoing coronary stent. GPs should not discontinue an antiplatelet without the agreement of a cardiologist.

3. Left atrial appendage devices

In selected patients with a high stroke risk due to AF, unsuitable for anticoagulation left atrial appendage closure may be appropriate. Refer to cardiology.

Appendix 4: Antiplatelets and Anticoagulation

Antiplatelets maybe indicated in combination with anticoagulants, for other conditions associated with AF, such as myocardial infarction.



Appendix 5: Antithrombotic therapy in AF patients presenting with ACS and/or undergoing PCI (This is a guide only and GPs should follow the advice of cardiologist)

In the event where a cardiologist considers a patient to require dual antiplatelet treatment with oral anticoagulation (OAC), this diagram represents the likely duration of treatment for triple therapy, dual therapy and OAC monotherapy. (European Heart Journal, (2021) 23, 1612–1676)



Updated: February 2022 Review date: January 2025 Page 15 of 22

Appendix 6: Detailed prescribing information for NOACs

	Apixaban ▼ (available generically)	Edoxaban ▼	Rivaroxaban ▼	Dabigatran
Licensed indication	Prevention of stroke and systemic embolism in adult patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation (NVAF) ^{6,7,8,15} (with at least one additional risk factor)			dditional risk factor)
Mechanism of action	Direct inhibitor of factor Xa	Direct & reversible inhibitor of factor Xa	Direct factor Xa inhibitor	Direct thrombin inhibitor
Standard Doses	5mg twice daily	60mg once daily	20mg once daily	150mg twice daily
Dose reduction	2.5mg twice daily in • CrCl 15-29mL/min • 2 or more of the following: o age >80 yrs o body weight ≤60kg or serum Cr >133micromol/I	 30mg once daily in CrCl 15-50ml/min Body weight ≤60kg Concomitant P-glycoprotein inhibitors – ciclosporin, dronedarone, erythromycin, ketoconazole 	 15mg once daily in CrCl 30-49 ml/min CrCl 15-29mL/min (use with caution)² 	 110mg twice daily in Age ≥ 80 years or taking verapamil Consider if: thromboembolic risk is low & bleeding risk is high age 75-80 years patients with gastroesophageal reflux, oesophagitis or gastritis CrCL 30-50mL/min¹⁰
Administration	Take with or without food may be crushed and put through NG tube if required (see p.10)	Take with or without food	Take with food to increase absorption. Maybe crushed and put through NG tube if required (see p.10)	Take with or without food Swallow whole - opening capsules may increase risk of bleeding (substantial increase in drug bioavailability (+75%))
Renal impairment (see additional advice above in Doses)	Patients must have a baseline renal function test before initiating NOAC. Renal function can decline while on treatment hence monitor as suggested in the monitoring section below. In practice eGFR and CrCL are not interchangeable; however for most drugs and for most patients (over 18 years) of average build and height, eGFR could provide some guidance. The SPC of each NOAC recommends that 'Cockcroft and Gault' formula is used for dosing and monitoring Cockcroft and Gault formula: CrCL = (<u>140-Age</u>) X Weight X Constant Serum creatinine [Age (in years). Weight (in kilograms). Constant = 1.23 (Men); 1.04 (Women). Serum creatinine (in micromole/litre)] (see BNF: Prescribing in renal impairment ¹⁴ and electronic calculator link http://www.medicinescomplete.com/mc/bnf/current/PHP18586-creatinine-clearance.htm) For practical purposes when calculating CrCl for NOAC dosing, many clinicians use the embedded calculator in the GP clinical system. If using the embedded calculator in SystmOne, ensure the creatine clearance using actual body weight is used. NB. It is very important to ensure that up-to-date weight & creatinine appropriate. For practical purposes when calculating CrCl for NOAC dosing, many clinicians use the embedded calculator in the GP clinical system. If using the embedded calculator in SystmOne, ensure the creatine clearance using actual body weight is used. NB. It is very important to ensure that up-to-date weight & creatinine are used. MHRA May 2023 Ensure all patients with renal impairment receive an appropriate DOAC dose and monitor renal function during treatment to ensure dose remains appropriate. For practical purposes when calculating CrCl for NOAC dosing, many clinicians use the embedded calculator in the GP clinical system. If using the embedded calculator in SystmOne, ensure the creatine clearance using actual body weight is used. NB. It is very important to ensure that up-to-date weight & creatinine			

		Apixaban ▼	Edoxaban ▼	Rivaroxaban ▼	Dabigatran
	CrCl<15ml/min	CrCl<15ml/min	CrCl<15ml/min	CrCl <15ml/min	CrCl<30ml/min
Renal impairment	Cautions See also individual SPCSs	-	CrCl>95ml/min :- Consider alternative NOAC in line with SmPC* (e.g. rivaroxaban 20mg once daily), *Edoxaban should be used in patients with NVAF and high CrCl only after a careful evaluation of the individual thromboembolic and bleeding risk.	CrCl<30ml/min	-
(see additional advice above in Doses)	notes	The manufacturer's advice to use actual bodyweight for all patients (Actual body weight was used in the clinical trials)	During clinical development the Cockcroft-Gault formula was used to calculate CrCl.	The manufacturer's advice to use actual bodyweight for all patients when calculating CrCl. (Actual body weight was used in the clinical trials)	 The manufacturer's advice to use ideal bodyweight (IBW) in patients who are overweight and actual body weight should be used in underweight patients. The Devine formula can be used to calculate IBW (should only be used for patients over 5 feet in height): For Male = 50kg + (2.3kg x height in inches over 5 feet) For Female = 45.5kg + (2.3kg x height in inches over 5 feet)
Hepatic impairment		Not recommended in severe hepatic impairment as requires hepatic metabolism. Contraindicated in hepatic disease associated with coagulopathy and clinically relevant bleeding risk	Not recommended in severe hepatic impairment. Contraindicated in patients with hepatic disease associated with coagulopathy and clinically relevant bleeding risk.	Use with caution as requires hepatic metabolism. Contraindicated in hepatic disease associated with coagulopathy and clinically relevant bleeding risk including cirrhotic patients with Child Pugh B & C	Not recommended in patients with elevated liver enzymes >2 upper limit of normal. Contraindicated in patients with hepatic impairment or liver disease expected to impact on survival
Contraindications (C/I) (list not exhaustive – refer to current SPC)		 Hypersensitivity Active bleeding Mechanical prosthetic heart valves Moderate to severe rheumatic mitral state Pregnancy and breast feeding Uncontrolled severe hypertension (for e Moderate to severe rheumatic mitral state CrCL< 15ml/min (CrCl<30ml/min for date Concomitant treatment with any other ate A lesion or condition, if considered a si Hepatic disease associated with coagu See also interactions section below. 	enosis edoxaban) enosis (for edoxaban) abigatran) anticoagulant (except during switching -so gnificant risk factor for major bleeding lopathy and clinically relevant bleeding ri vith antiphospholipid syndrome, particular es, and anti-beta 2 glycoprotein I antibod	ee below) sk ly high-risk patients (those who test positiv lies) see <u>MHRA June 2019</u>	e for all 3 antiphospholipid tests —

	Apixaban▼	Edoxaban▼	Rivaroxaban ▼	Dabigatran
Interactions (list not exhaustive – refer to current SPC)	 Avoid concomitant use with strong inhibitors of both CYP3A4 and P-gp e.g., ketoconazole, iraconazole, voriconazole or HIV protease inhibitors Concomitant use with P-gp inhibitor (e.g., ciclosporin, dronedarone, erythromycin, or ketoconazole) Concomitant use with P-gp inducers (e.g., rifampicin, St. Jon's wort, carbamazepine, or administered with P-gp inducers (e.g., phenytoin, carbamazepine, phenobarbital or St. John's Wort should be avoided Use with caution when co- administered with P-gp inducers (e.g., phenytoin, carbamazepine, St. John's Wort or phenobarbital) Avoid concomitant treatment with strong inhibitors of both CYP3A4 and P-gp e.g., ketoconazole, irraconazole, voriconazole or HIV protease inhibitors Concomitant administration of a strong of CYP3A4 inducers (e.g., rifampicin, phenytoin, carbamazepine, St. John's Wort or phenobarbital) Concomitant use of rivaroxaban and dronedarone is not recommended Concomitantly with NSAIDs (including acetylsalicylic acid) and anti-platelets as these typically increase the risk of bleeding (See SPC fo further details) 			
Monitoring ¹⁸	further details) Baseline monitoring: clotting screening • serum creatinine (for creatinine clearance) (Cockcroft and Gault is recommended for calculating creatinine clearance for DOACs) • FBC • liver function tests • Body weight (SPS – monitoring) SPS suggests NOAC review appointment is carried out every 3 months (best practice) to assess: • Compliance and reinforce advice regarding the importance of a regular dosing schedule. (see 6-month non-persistence section below) • Adverse effects or signs of bleeding or anaemia; Thromboembolic events (e.g. symptoms of stroke or breathlessness) • Drug interactions & modifiable risk factors for bleeding e.g. uncontrolled hypertension; and check NOAC dosing Repeat renal and liver function tests and FBC at least annually, and more frequently if the patient has the following: • every 6 months if CrCl 15-30ml/min • every 3 months if CrCl 15-30ml/min • every 3 months if CrCl 15-30ml/min • Intercurrent acute illness that may impact on renal/hepatic function e.g. infections, acute heart failure. Repeat renal &liver functions tests as needed <i>Patients need to be alerted that in such they should beek contact with their healthcare provider</i> . • check renal function every 6 months if patient has additional risk factors e.g., frail, multiple co-morbidities or age ≥75 years If renal function has declined review treatment, as NOAC may need to be stopped or a lower dose may be required- see renal section above </td			

	Apixaban ▼	Edoxaban ▼	Rivaroxaban ▼	Dabigatran
Age (≥80 yrs)	Consider dose reduction in ≥80yrs- 2.5mg twice daily only when patient also has either: body weight ≤60kg or serum Cr >133micromole/I	No dose reduction is required	dose reduction is required No dose reduction unless age related renal impairment	
Pregnancy & breastfeeding	Not recommended	Contraindicated	Contraindicated	Not recommended
Mechanical prosthetic heart valve	Not studied – not recommended	Not studied – not recommended Not studied – not recommended		Contraindicated
Moderate to severe rheumatic mitral valve disease	Not studied – not recommended	Not studied – not recommended	udied – not recommended Not studied – not recommended	
Extremes of BMI	 Warfarin is locally recommended in patients with BMI ≥ 40 kg/m² or weight ≥120kg because there are limited clinical data available for NOAC for patients at extreme weight, and available pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics evidence suggests that decreased drug exposures, reduced peak concentrations and shorter half-lives occur with increased weight (concerns about underdosing). Consult with specialist if in doubt. Exposure of NOACs may vary by 20-30% at extremes of bodyweight (<50 kg or >100-120 kg). This may be problematic given the difficulties in monitoring the therapeutic effects. It is recommended that Cockcroft and Gault formula is used to calculate CrCL to adjust NOAC dosage. 			
Poor adherence	NOACs have shorter half-life therefore missed doses may result in more time without any anticoagulation and greater risk of thromboembolic complications. Once daily dosing (edoxaban & rivaroxaban) may support concordance Warfarin – longer half-life and once a day dosing			
Missed doseMissed dose should be taken immediately and then continued with twice a day as beforeMissed dose sh immediately and following day wi intake as beforeMissed doseDo not double dose within the same day to make up for missed doseDo not double dose		Missed dose should be taken immediately and then continued the following day with the once-daily intake as before. Do not double dose within the same day to make up for missed dose.	Missed dose should be taken immediately and then continued on the following day with once-a-day dosing. Do not double dose within the same day to make up for missed dose	Missed dose may still be taken up to 6 hours prior to next scheduled dose If within 6 hours of next dose, the missed dose should be omitted
	Warfarin not suitable for compliance aids unless risk assessment has been undertaken and a management plan is in place to manage dosage changes			
Requirement for compliance aid	Shelf-life of 3 years and no special storage requirement – can be used in compliance aids	Shelf-life of 5 years and no special storage requirement. Stable outside of original packaging for 3 months at 40° and 75% relative humidity (personal communication with company)	Shelf-life of 3 years and no special storage requirement – can be used in compliance aids	Not suitable for compliance aids

	Apixaban ▼	Edoxaban ▼	Rivaroxaban ▼	Dabigatran
Reversibility	Haemodialysis is unlikely to clear apixaban and currently there is no antidote. Data suggest reversibility with prothrombin complex concentrate (PCC) has been successful; however currently there is very limited clinical experience Administration of activated charcoal reduces apixaban exposure	Haemodialysis does not significantly contribute to edoxaban clearance. (SPC: 4-hour haemodialysis session reduced total edoxaban exposures by less than 9%) Currently there is no antidote. For life-threatening bleeding, the administration of a 4-factor prothrombin complex concentrate (PCC) at 50 IU/kg has been shown to reverse the effects of edoxaban 30 minutes after completing the infusion.	A specific reversal agent (andexanet alfa) which antagonises the pharmaco- dynamics effect of rivaroxaban is availabl However currently there is very limited clinical experience. Data suggest reversibility with prothrombin complex concentrate (PCC) has been successful however currently there is very limited clinical experience. The use of activated charcoal to reduce absorption in case of rivaroxaban overdose may be considered	For situations of <u>life-threatening</u> or uncontrolled bleeding, when rapid reversal of the anticoagulation effect of dabigatran is required, the specific reversal agent – idarucizumab (Praxbind)) is available. The SPC states haemodialysis will also clear dabigatran. Therefore, the use of idarucizumab or haemodialysis can be used in the case of uncontrolled bleeding associated with dabigatran therapy
Conversion from warfarin to NOAC (consult locally agreed pathways if available)	Discontinue warfarin and start apixaban when the INR<2.0	Discontinue warfarin and start edoxaban when the INR is ≤ 2.5	Discontinue warfarin and start rivaroxaban when INR ≤3.0 (prevention of stroke and systemic embolism). Caution: INR values will be falsely elevated after the intake of rivaroxaban	Discontinue warfarin and start dabigatran when the INR<2.0 Caution: INR values will be falsely elevated when taking dabigatran
Conversion from NOAC to warfarin/ alternative NOAC (consult locally agreed pathways if available)	Because of the slow onset of action of warfarin, it may take 5–10 days before the INR is in the therapeutic range, with large individual variations. Therefore, the NOAC and warfarin should be administered concomitantly until the INR is in a range. As NOACs may have an impact on INR measurements, it is important that the INR is measured just before the next intake of the NOAC during concomitant administration and is re-measured early after stopping the NOAC to assure adequate anticoagulation. It is also recommended to closely monitor INRs within the first month until stable values have been attained (i.e., three consecutive measurements within therapeutic range).			
Minor/ Nuisance Bleeding ¹⁸	Nuisance bleeds can usually be managed by delaying intake or withholding the NOAC for a maximum of one dose. Minor bleedings may require more aggressive therapy with a focus aimed at treating the cause of the bleeding (e.g., PPI for gastric ulcers, antibiotics for UTI, etc.).			
Before surgery (see SPC for details)	If the procedure cannot be delayed the increased risk of bleeding should be assessed against the urgency of the intervention.			
		Edoxaban should be stopped as soon as possible and preferably at least 24 hours before the procedure. In deciding whether a procedure should be delayed until 24 hours after the last dose of edoxaban, the increased risk of bleeding should be weighed against the urgency of the intervention.	ç F	

		Apixaban ▼	Edoxaban ▼	Rivaroxaban ▼	Dabigatran
Efficad	cy for stroke evention	Superior to warfarin (ARISTOTLE) 12	Non-inferior to warfarin (ENGAGE AF-TIMI 48) ¹⁶	Non-inferior to warfarin (ROCKET-AF) ¹⁴	Slightly superior to warfarin with 150mg twice daily dose. Non- inferior to warfarin with 110mg twice daily dose (RE-LY) ¹³
Major bleed		Reduced risk (ARISTOTLE) ¹²	Reduced risk (ENGAGE AF-TIMI 48) ¹⁶	Similar risk (ROCKET-AF) ¹⁴	Reduced risk with 110mg twice daily Similar risk with 150mg twice daily (RE-LY) ¹³
/arfar	Intracranial bleed	Reduced risk (ARISTOTLE) ¹²	Reduced risk (ENGAGE AF-TIMI 48) ¹⁶ Reduced risk (ROCKET-AF) ¹⁴		Reduced risk (RE-LY) ¹³
Risk compared to w	Major GI bleed	Similar risk (ARISTOTLE) ¹²	Increased risk with high dose edoxaban (60mg od)	Increased risk (ROCKET-AF) ¹⁴	Similar risk with 110mg twice daily Significantly increased risk with 150mg twice daily (RE-Y) ¹³
	dyspepsia/ upper GI side effects	Non-reported (ARISTOTLE) ¹²	Not reported	Similar risk of dyspepsia (ROCKET-AF) ¹⁴	Dyspepsia was significantly more common with both doses of dabigatran (RE-LY) ¹³
	МІ	Reduced risk (ARISTOTLE) ¹²	Reduced risk but not statistically significant	Reduced risk but trend did not reach statistical significance (ROCKET-AF) ¹⁴	Increased risk but trend did not reach statistical significance (RE- LY) ¹³
Mean time	e in therapeutic range	62% ¹²	64.9% ¹⁶	55% ¹⁴	64% ¹³
6-month non-persistence		No evidence	No evidence	Study ¹⁷ (n=25,976) found at 6 months, 31.9% of patients were non-persistent rivaroxaban . Stroke/TIA/death was	A study ¹⁷ (n=25,976) found at 6 months, 36.4% of patients were non-persistent to dabigatran.
		Evidence reinforces 3monthly compliance check for NOACs	Evidence reinforces 3monthly compliance check for NOACs	Evidence reinforces 3monthly compliance check for NOACs.	non-persistence vs. persistence. Evidence reinforces 3monthly compliance check for NOACs

Table adapted from and thanks to Greater Manchester Commissioning Support Unit Medicines Optimisation Team

Appendix 7: CHA2DS2-VASc score and stroke risk table

	n	Events per 100 patients/year		
Score		lschaemic stroke	Stroke/TIA/ peripheral emboli	
0	5343	0.2	0.3	
1	6770	0.6	1.0	
2	11,240	2.5	3.3	
3	17,689	3.7	5.3	
4	19,091	5.5	7.8	
5	14,488	8.4	11.7	
6	9577	11.4	15.9	
7	4465	13.1	18.4	
8	1559	12.6	17.9	
9	268	14.4	20.3	

Adapted from Friberg L, Rosenqvist M, and Lip GYH. Evaluation of risk stratification schemes for ischaemic stroke and bleeding in 182 678 patients with atrial fibrillation: the Swedish Atrial Fibrillation cohort study. European Heart Journal (2012) 33 (12): 1500–1510

Appendix 8: Resources for patients

- Atrial fibrillation: diagnosis and management, NICE Guideline NG196, updated 10/06/21, accessed 28/06/21, <u>https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng196</u>
- British Heart Foundation: <u>https://www.bhf.org.uk/informationsupport/conditions/atrial-fibrillation</u>
- The UK Atrial fibrillation Association: http://www.atrialfibrillation.org.uk/
- Atrial fibrillation oral anticoagulation card <u>http://www.escardio.org/communities/EHRA/publications/novel-oral-anticoagulants-for-atrial-fibrillation/Pages/welcome.aspx</u>